# Explicit description of the degree function in terms of quantum Lakshmibai-Seshadri paths

Cristian Lenart

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, State University of New York at Albany, Albany, NY 12222, U.S.A. (e-mail: clenart@albany.edu)

Satoshi Naito

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 2-12-1 Oh-okayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan (e-mail: naito@math.titech.ac.jp)

Daisuke Sagaki

Institute of Mathematics, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8571, Japan (e-mail: sagaki@math.tsukuba.ac.jp)

Anne Schilling

Department of Mathematics, University of California, One Shields Avenue, Davis, CA 95616-8633, U.S.A. (e-mail: anne@math.ucdavis.edu)

Mark Shimozono

Department of Mathematics, MC 0151, 460 McBryde Hall, Virginia Tech, 225 Stanger St., Blacksburg, VA 24061, U.S.A. (e-mail: mshimo@vt.edu)

#### Abstract

We give an explicit and computable description, in terms of the parabolic quantum Bruhat graph, of the degree function defined for quantum Lakshmibai-Seshadri paths, or equivalently, for "projected" (affine) level-zero Lakshmibai-Seshadri paths. This, in turn, gives an explicit and computable description of the global energy function on tensor products of Kirillov-Reshetikhin crystals of one-column type, and also of (classically restricted) one-dimensional sums.

## 1 Introduction.

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be an affine Lie algebra with index set I for the simple roots, and let  $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$  be the quantum affine algebra (without the degree operator) associated to  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Set  $I_0 := I \setminus \{0\}$ , where

 $0 \in I$  corresponds to the "extended" vertex in the Dynkin diagram of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . In [NS1, NS2, NS3], Naito and Sagaki gave a combinatorial realization of the crystal bases of tensor products of level-zero fundamental representations  $W(\varpi_i)$ ,  $i \in I_0$ , over  $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$ , where the  $\varpi_i$ 's are the levelzero fundamental weights; the  $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules  $W(\varpi_i)$  are often called Kirillov-Reshetikhin (KR for short) modules of one-column type, and accordingly their crystal bases are called KR crystals of one-column type. In the papers above, they realized elements of the crystal bases as projected (affine) level-zero Lakshmibai-Seshadri (LS for short) paths. Here a projected level-zero LS path is obtained from an ordinary LS path of shape  $\lambda$  by factoring out the null root  $\delta$  of the affine Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , where  $\lambda$  is a level-zero dominant integral weight of the form  $\lambda = \sum_{i \in I_0} m_i \varpi_i$ , with  $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . However, from the nature of the definition above of projected level-zero LS paths, their description of these objects in [NS1, NS2, NS3] is not as explicit as the one of usual LS paths given by Littelmann in [L1].

By contrast, in our previous paper [LNS<sup>3</sup>3], we proved that (in the case that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is an untwisted affine Lie algebra) a projected level-zero LS path is identical to what we call a quantum LS path, which is described quite explicitly in terms of the parabolic quantum Bruhat graph, instead of (the Hasse diagram of) the usual Bruhat graph.

Also, in [NS5], we defined a certain integer-valued function, called the degree function, on the set  $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{cl}$  of projected level-zero LS paths of shape  $\lambda = \sum_{i \in I_0} m_i \varpi_i$ , and proved that it is identical to the global "energy function" on the tensor product  $\bigotimes_{i \in I_0} \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{cl}^{\otimes m_i}$  under the isomorphism  $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{cl} \cong \bigotimes_{i \in I_0} \mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{cl}^{\otimes m_i}$  of  $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -crystals; recall that for each  $i \in I_0$ , the crystal  $\mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{cl}$  is isomorphic, as a  $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -crystal, to a KR crystal of one-column type. However, again from the nature of the definition of projected level-zero LS paths, our description in [NS5] is not very explicit, and hence it is difficult to compute the value of the degree function at a given projected level-zero LS path.

In [LNS<sup>3</sup>2], we give an explicit and computable description, in terms of the parabolic quantum Bruhat graph, of the degree function defined for quantum LS paths, or equivalently, for projected level-zero LS paths [LNS<sup>3</sup>3]. This, in turn, gives a new description of the global energy function on tensor products of KR crystals of one-column type, and also of (classically restricted) one-dimensional sums arising from the study of solvable lattice models in statistical mechanics through Baxter's corner transfer matrix method (for details, see [S]).

The purpose of this paper is to give a new proof of the description above, in terms of the parabolic quantum Bruhat graph, of the degree function. We should mention that our proof in this paper is completely different from the one in [LNS<sup>3</sup>2] in that (at least in appearance) we do not make use of root operators; it is based on a technical lemma (Lemma 2.3.2) about the decomposition of  $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)$  into connected components, and also on our results in [LNS<sup>3</sup>1], where  $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)$  denotes the crystal of (not projected) LS paths of shape  $\lambda$ .

This paper is organized as follows. In §2, we fix our basic notation, and review some fundamental facts about level-zero path crystals. Also, we recall the definition of the degree function, and then prove a technical lemma (Lemma 2.3.2), which plays an important rule in the proof of our main result (Theorem 4.1.1). In §3, we recall the notion of parabolic quantum Bruhat graph, and then give the definition of quantum LS paths. In §4, we state and prove our main result about the description of the degree function in terms of the parabolic quantum Bruhat graph.

Acknowledgments. C.L. was partially supported by the NSF grant DMS–1101264. S.N. was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C), No. 24540010, Japan. D.S. was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B), No. 23740003, Japan. A.S. was partially supported by the NSF grant OCI–1147247. M.S. was partially supported by the NSF grant DMS–1200804.

## 2 Lakshmibai-Seshadri paths and the degree function.

**2.1 Basic notation.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be an untwisted affine Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  with Cartan matrix  $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j\in I}$ ; throughout this paper, the elements of the index set I are numbered as in [Kac, §4.8, Table Aff 1]. Take a distinguished vertex  $0 \in I$  as in [Kac], and set  $I_0 := I \setminus \{0\}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{h} = (\bigoplus_{j \in I} \mathbb{C} \alpha_j^{\vee}) \oplus \mathbb{C} d$  denote the Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , where  $\Pi^{\vee} := \{\alpha_j^{\vee}\}_{j\in I} \subset \mathfrak{h}$  is the set of simple coroots, and  $d \in \mathfrak{h}$  is the scaling element (or degree operator). We denote by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathfrak{h}^* \times \mathfrak{h} \to \mathbb{C}$  the duality pairing between  $\mathfrak{h}^* := \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{C})$  and  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Denote by  $\Pi := \{\alpha_j\}_{j\in I} \subset \mathfrak{h}^* := \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{C})$  the set of simple roots, and by  $\Lambda_j \in \mathfrak{h}^*, j \in I$ , the fundamental weights; note that  $\langle \alpha_j, d \rangle = \delta_{j,0}$  and  $\langle \Lambda_j, d \rangle = 0$  for  $j \in I$ . Let  $\delta = \sum_{j\in I} a_j \alpha_j \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  and  $c = \sum_{j\in I} a_j^{\vee} \alpha_j^{\vee} \in \mathfrak{h}$  denote the null root and the canonical central element of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , respectively. The Weyl group W of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is defined as  $W := \langle r_j \mid j \in I \rangle \subset \operatorname{GL}(\mathfrak{h}^*)$ , where  $r_j \in \operatorname{GL}(\mathfrak{h}^*)$  denotes the simple reflection associated to  $\alpha_j$  for  $j \in I$ , with  $\ell : W \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  the length function on W. Denote by  $\Delta_{\mathrm{re}}$  the set of real roots, i.e.,  $\Delta_{\mathrm{re}} := W\Pi$ , and by  $\Delta_{\mathrm{re}}^+ \subset \Delta_{\mathrm{re}}$  the set of positive real roots; for  $\beta \in \Delta_{\mathrm{re}}$ , we denote by  $\beta^{\vee}$  the dual root of  $\beta$ , and by  $r_\beta \in W$  the reflection associated to  $\beta$ . We take a dual weight lattice  $P^{\vee}$  and a weight lattice P as follows:

$$P^{\vee} = \left(\bigoplus_{j \in I} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_j^{\vee}\right) \oplus \mathbb{Z}d \subset \mathfrak{h} \quad \text{and} \quad P = \left(\bigoplus_{j \in I} \mathbb{Z}\Lambda_j\right) \oplus \mathbb{Z}\delta \subset \mathfrak{h}^*.$$
(2.1.1)

It is clear that P contains the root lattice  $Q := \bigoplus_{j \in I} \mathbb{Z} \alpha_j$ , and that  $P \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P^{\vee}, \mathbb{Z})$ .

Let  $W_0$  denote the subgroup of W generated by  $r_j$ ,  $j \in I_0$ . Set  $Q_0 := \bigoplus_{j \in I_0} \mathbb{Z} \alpha_j$ ,  $Q_0^+ := \sum_{j \in I_0} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \alpha_j$ ,  $\Delta_0 := \Delta_{\mathrm{re}} \cap Q_0$ ,  $\Delta_0^+ := \Delta_{\mathrm{re}} \cap Q_0^+$ , and  $\Delta_0^- := -\Delta_0^+$ . Note that  $W_0$  (resp.,  $\Delta_0$ ,  $\Delta_0^+$ ,  $\Delta_0^-$ ) can be thought of as the (finite) Weyl group (resp., the set of roots, the set of positive roots, the set of negative roots) of the finite-dimensional simple Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  corresponding to the subset  $I_0$  of I. Also, we denote by  $\theta \in \Delta_0^+$  the highest root of the (finite) root system  $\Delta_0$ ; note that  $\alpha_0 = -\theta + \delta$  and  $\alpha_0^{\vee} = -\theta^{\vee} + c$ .

#### Definition 2.1.1.

- (1) An integral weight  $\lambda \in P$  is said to be of level zero if  $\langle \lambda, c \rangle = 0$ .
- (2) An integral weight  $\lambda \in P$  is said to be level-zero dominant if  $\langle \lambda, c \rangle = 0$ , and  $\langle \lambda, \alpha_j^{\vee} \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $j \in I_0 = I \setminus \{0\}$ .

## Remark 2.1.2.

- (1) If  $\lambda \in P$  is of level zero, then  $\langle \lambda, \alpha_0^{\vee} \rangle = -\langle \lambda, \theta^{\vee} \rangle$ .
- (2) For  $h \in Q_0^{\vee} := \bigoplus_{j \in I_0} \mathbb{Z} \alpha_j^{\vee}$ , we denote by  $t_h \in W$  the translation with respect to h (see [Kac, §6.5]). If  $\lambda$  is of level-zero, then  $t_h \lambda = \lambda \langle \lambda, h \rangle \delta$  for  $h \in Q_0^{\vee}$ . Because W is the semidirect product of  $W_0$  and the abelian (normal) subgroup  $T = \{t_h \mid h \in Q_0^{\vee}\}$  of translations by [Kac, Proposition 6.5], we deduce (see also [NS4, Lemma 2.6] for example) that if  $\lambda$  is level-zero dominant, then  $W\lambda = W_0T\lambda \subset W_0\lambda + \mathbb{Z}\delta \subset \lambda Q_0^+ + \mathbb{Z}\delta$ ; we define  $d_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  by:  $\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \lambda + n\delta \in T\lambda\} = d_{\lambda}\mathbb{Z}$ .

For each  $i \in I_0$ , we define a level-zero fundamental weight  $\varpi_i \in P$  by

$$\overline{\omega}_i := \Lambda_i - a_i^{\vee} \Lambda_0. \tag{2.1.2}$$

The weights  $\overline{\omega}_i$  for  $i \in I_0$  are actually level-zero dominant integral weights; indeed,  $\langle \overline{\omega}_i, c \rangle = 0$ and  $\langle \overline{\omega}_i, \alpha_i^{\vee} \rangle = \delta_{i,j}$  for  $i, j \in I_0$ .

Let cl :  $\mathfrak{h}^* \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*/\mathbb{C}\delta$  denote the canonical projection from  $\mathfrak{h}^*$  onto  $\mathfrak{h}^*/\mathbb{C}\delta$ , and define  $P_{\rm cl}$ and  $P_{\rm cl}^{\vee}$  by

$$P_{\rm cl} := {\rm cl}(P) = \bigoplus_{j \in I} \mathbb{Z} \, {\rm cl}(\Lambda_j) \quad \text{and} \quad P_{\rm cl}^{\vee} := \bigoplus_{j \in I} \mathbb{Z} \alpha_j^{\vee} \subset P^{\vee}.$$
(2.1.3)

We see that  $P_{\rm cl} \cong P/\mathbb{Z}\delta$ , and that  $P_{\rm cl}$  can be identified with  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P_{\rm cl}^{\vee},\mathbb{Z})$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module by

$$\langle cl(\lambda), h \rangle = \langle \lambda, h \rangle \quad \text{for } \lambda \in P \text{ and } h \in P_{cl}^{\vee}.$$
 (2.1.4)

Also, there exists a natural action of the Weyl group W on  $\mathfrak{h}^*/\mathbb{C}\delta$  induced by the one on  $\mathfrak{h}^*$ , since  $W\delta = \delta$ ; it is obvious that  $w \circ cl = cl \circ w$  for all  $w \in W$ .

Remark 2.1.3. Let  $\lambda \in P$  be a level-zero integral weight. It is easy to check that  $cl(W\lambda) = W_0 cl(\lambda)$  (see the proof of [NS4, Lemma 2.3.3]). In particular, we have  $cl(r_0\lambda) = r_{\theta}\lambda$  since  $\alpha_0 = -\theta + \delta$  and  $\alpha_0^{\vee} = -\theta^{\vee} + c$ .

For simplicity of notation, we often write  $\beta$  instead of  $cl(\beta) \in P_{cl}$  for  $\beta \in \bigoplus_{j \in I} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_j$ ; note that  $\alpha_0 = -\theta$  in  $P_{cl}$  since  $\alpha_0 = -\theta + \delta$  in P.

**2.2 Lakshmibai-Seshadri paths.** Here we recall the definition of Lakshmibai-Seshadri (LS for short) paths from [L2, §4]. In this subsection, we fix a level-zero dominant integral weight  $\lambda \in \sum_{i \in I_0} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \varpi_i$ .

**Definition 2.2.1.** For  $\mu, \nu \in W\lambda$ , let us write  $\mu \geq \nu$  if there exists a sequence  $\mu = \mu_0, \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n = \nu$  of elements in  $W\lambda$  and a sequence  $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n \in \Delta_{\rm re}^+$  of positive real roots such that  $\mu_k = r_{\xi_k}(\mu_{k-1})$  and  $\langle \mu_{k-1}, \xi_k^{\vee} \rangle < 0$  for  $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ . If  $\mu \geq \nu$ , then we define dist $(\mu, \nu)$  to be the maximal length n of all possible such sequences  $\mu_0, \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n$  for  $(\mu, \nu)$ .

Remark 2.2.2. Keep the notation of Definition 2.2.1. We see that

$$\nu - \mu = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\mu_k - \mu_{k-1}) = -\sum_{k=1}^{n} \underbrace{\langle \mu_{k-1}, \xi_k^{\vee} \rangle}_{<0} \xi_k \in \sum_{j \in I} \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0} \alpha_j.$$

It is obvious that  $\mu$  covers  $\nu$  in the poset  $W\lambda$  if and only if  $\mu > \nu$  with dist $(\mu, \nu) = 1$ . In this case, we write  $\mu > \nu$ .

Remark 2.2.3. Let  $\mu, \nu \in W\lambda$  be such that  $\mu > \nu$ , and let  $\xi \in \Delta_{\text{re}}^+$  be the positive real root such that  $r_{\xi}\mu = \nu$ . We know from [NS4, Lemma 2.11] that  $\xi \in \Delta_0^+ \sqcup \{-\gamma + \delta \mid \gamma \in \Delta_0^+\}$ .

**Definition 2.2.4.** For  $\mu, \nu \in W\lambda$  with  $\mu > \nu$  and a rational number  $0 < \sigma < 1$ , a  $\sigma$ -chain for  $(\mu, \nu)$  is, by definition, a sequence  $\mu = \mu_0 > \mu_1 > \cdots > \mu_n = \nu$  of elements in  $W\lambda$  such that  $\sigma \langle \mu_{k-1}, \xi_k^{\vee} \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$  for all  $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ , where  $\xi_k$  is the positive real root such that  $r_{\xi_k}\mu_{k-1} = \mu_k$ .

**Definition 2.2.5.** An LS path of shape  $\lambda$  is, by definition, a pair  $(\underline{\nu}; \underline{\sigma})$  of a sequence  $\underline{\nu}: \nu_1 > \nu_2 > \cdots > \nu_s$  of elements in  $W\lambda$  and a sequence  $\underline{\sigma}: 0 = \sigma_0 < \sigma_1 < \cdots < \sigma_s = 1$  of rational numbers satisfying the condition that there exists a  $\sigma_k$ -chain for  $(\nu_k, \nu_{k+1})$  for each  $k = 1, 2, \ldots, s - 1$ . We denote by  $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)$  the set of all LS paths of shape  $\lambda$ .

We identify  $\pi = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_s; \sigma_0, \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s) \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)$  with the following piecewiselinear, continuous map  $\pi : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} P$ :

$$\pi(t) = \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} (\sigma_l - \sigma_{l-1})\nu_l + (t - \sigma_{k-1})\nu_k \quad \text{for } \sigma_{k-1} \le t \le \sigma_k, \ 1 \le k \le s.$$
(2.2.1)

Remark 2.2.6. It is obvious from the definition that for every  $\nu \in W\lambda$ ,  $\pi_{\nu} := (\nu; 0, 1)$  is an LS path of shape  $\lambda$ , which corresponds (under (2.2.1)) to the straight line path  $\pi_{\nu}(t) = t\nu$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ , connecting 0 to  $\nu$ .

For  $\pi \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)$ , we define  $\operatorname{cl}(\pi) : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} P_{\operatorname{cl}}$  by

$$cl(\pi)(t) := cl(\pi(t)) \text{ for } t \in [0, 1].$$

Also, we set

$$\mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\rm cl} := {\rm cl}(\mathbb{B}(\lambda)) = \big\{ {\rm cl}(\pi) \mid \pi \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda) \big\}.$$

Remark 2.2.7. For  $\mu \in P_{cl}$ , we define  $\eta_{\mu}(t) := t\mu$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ . It is easily seen from Remark 2.2.6 that  $\eta_{\mu}$  is contained in  $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{cl}$  for all  $\mu \in cl(W\lambda) = W_0 cl(\lambda)$ .

We can endow the set  $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)$  of LS paths of shape  $\lambda$  (resp., the set  $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{cl}$  of "cl-projected" LS paths of shape  $\lambda$ ) with a crystal structure with weights in P (resp., in  $P_{cl}$ ) by defining root operators on  $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)$  (resp.,  $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{cl}$ ); since we do not use root operators in this paper, we omit the details (see [L2], and also [NS5, §2.2], [LNS<sup>3</sup>2, §2.3]).

**2.3 Degree function.** As in the previous subsection, we fix a level-zero dominant integral weight  $\lambda \in \sum_{i \in I_0} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \varpi_i$ . Let us recall the definition of the degree function  $\text{Deg} = \text{Deg}_{\lambda} : \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\text{cl}} \to \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$  from [NS5, §3.1]. We know the following proposition from [NS5, Proposition 3.1.3].

**Proposition 2.3.1.** Let  $\lambda \in \sum_{i \in I_0} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \varpi_i$  be a level-zero dominant integral weight. For each  $\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{cl}$ , there exists a unique element  $\pi_{\eta} \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (1)  $\operatorname{cl}(\pi_{\eta}) = \eta;$
- (2) the element  $\pi_{\eta}$  is contained in the connected component  $\mathbb{B}_{0}(\lambda)$  of  $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)$  containing the straight line path  $\pi_{\lambda} = (\lambda; 0, 1) \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda);$
- (3) if we write  $\pi_{\eta}$  in the form  $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_s; \underline{\sigma})$  as in Definition 2.2.5, then  $\nu_1$  is contained in the set  $\lambda - Q_0^+$  (see Remark 2.1.2 (2)).

Let  $\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{cl}$ . It follows from [NS5, Lemma 3.1.1] that  $\pi_{\eta}(1) \in P$  is of the form  $\pi_{\eta}(1) = \lambda - \beta + K\delta$  for some  $\beta \in Q_0^+$  and  $K \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . We define

$$\operatorname{Deg}(\eta) = \operatorname{Deg}_{\lambda}(\eta) := -K \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}.$$

The following lemma plays an important role in the proof of Theorem 4.1.1.

**Lemma 2.3.2.** Let C be a connected component of  $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)$ .

- (1) For each  $\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{cl}$ , there exists a unique element  $\pi_{\eta}^{C} \in C$  satisfying the same conditions as (1) and (3) of Proposition 2.3.1.
- (2) If  $\pi_{\eta}(1) = \lambda \beta \text{Deg}(\eta)\delta$  with  $\beta \in Q_0^+$ , then  $\pi_{\eta}^C(1) = \lambda \beta + (-\text{Deg}(\eta) + L)\delta$  for some  $L \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ .
- (3) In part (2) above,  $C = \mathbb{B}_0(\lambda)$  if and only if L = 0.

*Proof.* If  $C = \mathbb{B}_0(\lambda)$ , then we have  $\pi_{\eta}^C = \pi_{\eta}$ . In this case, part (1) follows from Proposition 2.3.1; part (2) and the "only if" part of part (3) are obvious.

Assume that  $C \neq \mathbb{B}_0(\lambda)$ . We see from [NS4, Theorem 3.1 and Remark 2.15] that the connected component C contains a unique element  $\pi_{\lambda}^C$  of the form

$$\pi_{\lambda}^{C} = (\lambda - N_{1}\delta, \dots, \lambda - N_{s-1}\delta, \lambda; \tau_{0}, \tau_{1}, \dots, \tau_{s-1}, \tau_{s})$$
(2.3.1)

for some integers  $N_1 > N_2 > \cdots > N_{s-1} > N_s = 0$  and rational numbers  $0 = \tau_0 < \tau_1 < \cdots < \tau_s = 1$ ; since  $C \neq \mathbb{B}_0(\lambda)$  (and hence  $\pi_{\lambda}^C \neq \pi_{\lambda}$ ), we have s > 1. From (2.3.1), by using (2.2.1), we deduce that

$$\pi_{\lambda}^{C}(1) = \lambda - \left(\underbrace{\sum_{u=1}^{s} (\tau_{u} - \tau_{u-1}) N_{u}}_{=:N}\right) \delta;$$

note that  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$  since  $\pi_{\lambda}^{C}(1) \in P$ , which in turn follows from the integrality condition on LS paths (see Definitions 2.2.4 and 2.2.5). Also, since  $N_1 > N_2 > \cdots > N_{s-1} > N_s = 0$  with s > 1, it follows that

$$N = \sum_{u=1}^{s} (\tau_u - \tau_{u-1}) N_u < \sum_{u=1}^{s} (\tau_u - \tau_{u-1}) N_1 = N_1.$$

Therefore, we have  $\pi_{\lambda}^{C}(1) = \lambda - N_{1}\delta + L\delta$ , with  $L := N_{1} - N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ .

Let us denote by  $F : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} P$  the piecewise-linear, continuous function such that  $\pi_{\lambda}^{C}(t) = \pi_{\lambda}(t) + F(t)\delta$  for all  $t \in [0,1]$ ; note that F(0) = 0,

$$\lim_{\substack{t \to 0 \\ t > 0}} \frac{F(t) - F(0)}{t - 0} = \lim_{\substack{t \to 0 \\ t > 0}} \frac{F(t)}{t} = -N_1,$$
(2.3.2)

and  $F(1) = -N_1 + L$ . Then, by using [NS4, Lemma 2.26], we deduce that  $C = \{\pi(t) + F(t)\delta \mid \pi \in \mathbb{B}_0(\lambda)\}$ . Hence it follows from [NS5, Lemma 3.1.2] that

$$\left\{\pi \in C \mid \mathrm{cl}(\pi) = \eta\right\} = \left\{\pi_{\eta}(t) + t(M\delta) + F(t)\delta \mid M \in d_{\lambda}\mathbb{Z}\right\};$$

recall the notation  $d_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  from Remark 2.1.2 (2). Therefore, we conclude by Proposition 2.3.1 and (2.3.2) that  $\pi_{\eta}^{C}(t) := \pi_{\eta}(t) + F(t)\delta + t(N_{1}\delta)$  is a unique element in C satisfying the same conditions as (1) and (3) of Proposition 2.3.1. This proves part (1) for  $C \neq \mathbb{B}_{0}(\lambda)$ . Moreover, part (2) for  $C \neq \mathbb{B}_{0}(\lambda)$  and the "if" part of part (3) follow immediately since

$$\pi_{\eta}^{C}(1) = \pi_{\eta}(1) + F(1)\delta + N_{1}\delta = \pi_{\eta}(1) + L\delta$$

with L > 0. This completes the proof of the lemma.

**2.4 Global energy function.** We know from [NS1, Proposition 5.8] and [NS3, Theorem 2.1.1 and Proposition 3.4.2] that for each  $i \in I_0$ , the crystal  $\mathbb{B}(\varpi_i)_{cl}$  is isomorphic, as a crystal with weights in  $P_{cl}$ , to the crystal basis of the level-zero fundamental representation  $W(\varpi_i)$  introduced in [Kas2, Theorem 5.17]; the level-zero fundamental modules  $W(\varpi_i)$ ,

 $i \in I_0$ , are often called Kirillov-Reshetikhin (KR for short) modules of one-column type, and accordingly their crystal bases are called KR crystals of one-column type. Also, we know the following from [NS2, Theorem 3.2]. Let  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_p)$  be an arbitrary sequence of elements of  $I_0$  (with repetitions allowed), and set  $\lambda := \varpi_{i_1} + \varpi_{i_2} + \cdots + \varpi_{i_p}$ . Then the crystal  $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{cl}$  is isomorphic, as a crystal with weights in  $P_{cl}$ , to the tensor product  $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}} := \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_1})_{cl} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_2})_{cl} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_p})_{cl}$  of KR crystals of one-column type. Moreover, in [NS5, Theorem 4.1], we proved that the degree function  $\text{Deg} = \text{Deg}_{\lambda} : \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{cl} \to \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$  in §2.3 is identical, up to a constant, to the global energy function  $D_{\mathbf{i}}$  (which is called the energy function in [LNS<sup>3</sup>2], and the right energy function in [LS]; note that the order of tensor factors in tensor products of crystals in [LS] is "opposite" to the one in this paper and [LNS<sup>3</sup>2]) on  $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}} = \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_1})_{cl} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_2})_{cl} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_p})_{cl}$  under the isomorphism  $\Psi : \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{cl} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}}$  of crystals above.

Now we explain the relation between the degree function and the global energy function more precisely. Following [HKOTY, §3] and [HKOTT, §3.3] (see also [NS5, §4.1]), we define the global energy function  $D_{\mathbf{i}} : \mathbb{B}_{\mathbf{i}} = \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_1})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_2})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_p})_{\mathrm{cl}} \to \mathbb{Z}$  as follows. First we recall that there exists a unique isomorphism

$$\mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_k})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_{k+1}})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_{l-1}})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_l})_{\mathrm{cl}} \\
\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_l})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_k})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_{l-2}})_{\mathrm{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_{l-1}})_{\mathrm{cl}}$$

of crystals, which is given as the composite of combinatorial *R*-matrices (see [NS5, §2.4]). For an element  $\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta_p \in \mathbb{B}_i$ , we define  $\eta_l^{(k)} \in \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_l})_{cl}, 1 \leq k < l \leq p$ , to be the first factor (which lies in  $\mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_l})_{cl}$ ) of the image of  $\eta_k \otimes \eta_{k+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta_l \in \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_k})_{cl} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_{k+1}})_{cl} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_l})_{cl}$ under the above isomorphism of crystals. For convenience, we set  $\eta_l^{(l)} := \eta_l$  for  $1 \leq l \leq p$ . Furthermore, for each  $1 \leq k \leq p$ , take (and fix) an arbitrary element  $\eta_k^{\flat} \in \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_k})_{cl}$  such that  $f_j \eta_k^{\flat} = \mathbf{0}$  for all  $j \in I_0$ . Then we set

$$D_{\mathbf{i}}(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta_p) = \sum_{1 \le k < l \le p} H_{\varpi_{i_k}, \varpi_{i_l}}(\eta_k \otimes \eta_l^{(k+1)}) + \sum_{k=1}^p H_{\varpi_{i_k}, \varpi_{i_k}}(\eta_k^{\flat} \otimes \eta_k^{(1)}).$$

Here,  $H_{\varpi_{i_k}, \varpi_{i_l}}$ :  $\mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_k})_{cl} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_l})_{cl} \to \mathbb{Z}$  is the local energy function, which is a unique  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued function on  $\mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_k})_{cl} \otimes \mathbb{B}(\varpi_{i_l})_{cl}$  satisfying the conditions [NS5, (H1) and (H2) in Theorem 2.5.1]. Also, we define a constant  $D_{\mathbf{i}}^{\text{ext}} \in \mathbb{Z}$  by

$$D_{\mathbf{i}}^{\text{ext}} := \sum_{k=1}^{p} H_{\varpi_{i_k}, \varpi_{i_k}}(\eta_k^{\flat} \otimes \operatorname{cl}(\pi_{\varpi_{i_k}})).$$

In [NS5, Theorem 4.1], we proved that for every  $\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{cl}$ ,

$$\operatorname{Deg}(\eta) = D_{\mathbf{i}}(\Psi(\eta)) - D_{\mathbf{i}}^{\operatorname{ext}}$$

where  $\Psi : \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{cl} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{B}_{i}$  is the isomorphism of crystals above.

Remark 2.4.1. We can verify that the function  $D_{\mathbf{i}} \circ \Psi : \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{cl} \to \mathbb{Z}$  is a unique function on  $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{cl}$  satisfying [NS5, (3.2.1)] (with Deg replaced by  $D_{\mathbf{i}} \circ \Psi$ ) and the condition that  $D_{\mathbf{i}} \circ \Psi(cl(\pi_{\lambda})) = D_{\mathbf{i}}^{\text{ext}}$  (see [NS5, Lemma 3.2.1 (1)]).

## 3 Quantum Lakshmibai-Seshadri paths.

## **3.1** Parabolic quantum Bruhat graph. In this subsection, we fix a subset J of $I_0$ . Set

$$W_{0,J} := \langle r_j \mid j \in J \rangle \subset W_0$$

It is well-known that each coset in  $W_0/W_{0,J}$  has a unique element of minimal length, called the minimal coset representative for the coset; we denote by  $W_0^J \subset W_0$  the set of minimal coset representatives for the cosets in  $W_0/W_{0,J}$ , and by  $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor = \lfloor \cdot \rfloor_J : W_0 \twoheadrightarrow W_0^J \cong W_0/W_{0,J}$ the canonical projection. Also, we set  $\Delta_{0,J} := \Delta_0 \cap (\bigoplus_{j \in J} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_j), \Delta_{0,J}^{\pm} := \Delta_0^{\pm} \cap (\bigoplus_{j \in J} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_j),$ and  $\rho := (1/2) \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_0^+} \alpha, \rho_J := (1/2) \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_{0,J}^+} \alpha.$ 

**Definition 3.1.1.** The parabolic quantum Bruhat graph is a  $(\Delta_0^+ \setminus \Delta_{0,J}^+)$ -labeled, directed graph with vertex set  $W_0^J$  and  $(\Delta_0^+ \setminus \Delta_{0,J}^+)$ -labeled, directed edges of the following form:  $w \xrightarrow{\beta} \lfloor wr_\beta \rfloor$  for  $w \in W_0^J$  and  $\beta \in \Delta_0^+ \setminus \Delta_{0,J}^+$  such that either

(i) 
$$\ell(\lfloor wr_{\beta} \rfloor) = \ell(w) + 1$$
, or

(ii)  $\ell(\lfloor wr_{\beta} \rfloor) = \ell(w) - 2\langle \rho - \rho_J, \beta^{\vee} \rangle + 1;$ 

if (i) holds (resp., (ii) holds), then the edge is called a Bruhat edge (resp., a quantum edge).

*Example* 3.1.2. Assume that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of type  $A_2^{(1)}$  (and hence  $\Delta_0$  and  $W_0$  are of type  $A_2$ ), and  $J = \emptyset$ . Then the quantum Bruhat graph is as follows, where  $\theta = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \in \Delta_0^+$ , the highest root of  $A_2$ :



Let  $x, y \in W_0^J$ . A directed path **d** from y to x in the parabolic quantum Bruhat graph is, by definition, a pair of a sequence  $w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_n$  of elements in  $W_0^J$  and a sequence  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_n$  of elements in  $\Delta_0^+ \setminus \Delta_{0,J}^+$  such that in the parabolic quantum Bruhat graph,

$$\mathbf{d}: x = w_0 \stackrel{\beta_1}{\leftarrow} w_1 \stackrel{\beta_2}{\leftarrow} \cdots \stackrel{\beta_n}{\leftarrow} w_n = y. \tag{3.1.1}$$

A directed path **d** from y to x is said to be shortest if its length n is minimal among all possible directed paths from y to x; let  $\ell(y, x)$  denote the length of a shortest directed path from y to xin the parabolic quantum Bruhat graph. Also, we define the weight wt(**d**)  $\in Q^{\vee} = \bigoplus_{j \in I_0} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_j^{\vee}$ of a directed path of the form (3.1.1) by

$$\operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{d}) := \sum_{\substack{1 \le k \le n \, ; \\ w_{k-1} \stackrel{\beta_k}{\leftarrow} w_k \text{ is } \\ \text{a quantum edge}}} \beta_k^{\vee}.$$
(3.1.2)

We recall the following proposition from  $[LNS^{3}1, Theorem 6.5]$ .

## **Proposition 3.1.3.** Set $\Lambda := cl(\lambda) \in P_{cl}$ .

(1) Let  $w \in W_0^J$  and  $\beta \in \Delta_0^+ \setminus \Delta_{0,J}^+$  be such that  $\lfloor wr_\beta \rfloor \xleftarrow{\beta} w$  in the parabolic quantum Bruhat graph. We set

$$\xi := \begin{cases} w\beta & \text{if } \lfloor wr_\beta \rfloor \xleftarrow{\beta} w \text{ is a Bruhat edge,} \\ \\ w\beta + \delta & \text{if } \lfloor wr_\beta \rfloor \xleftarrow{\beta} w \text{ is a quantum edge.} \end{cases}$$

Then,  $\xi \in \Delta_{re}^+$ , and  $r_{\xi}\nu \gg \nu$  for all  $\nu \in W\lambda$  such that  $cl(\nu) = w\Lambda$ .

(2) Let  $\mu, \nu \in W\lambda$  be such that  $\mu > \nu$ , and let  $\xi \in \Delta_{re}^+$  be the positive real root such that  $r_{\xi}\mu = \nu$ ; recall from Remark 2.2.3 that  $\xi \in \Delta_0^+ \sqcup \{-\gamma + \delta \mid \gamma \in \Delta_0^+\}$ . Let  $w \in W_0^J$  be a unique element in  $W_0^J$  such that  $cl(\nu) = w\Lambda$ , and set

$$\beta := \begin{cases} w^{-1}\xi & \text{if } \xi \in \Delta_0^+, \\ w^{-1}(\xi - \delta) & \text{if } \xi \in \left\{ -\gamma + \delta \mid \gamma \in \Delta_0^+ \right\}. \end{cases}$$

Then,  $\beta \in \Delta_0^+ \setminus \Delta_J^+$ , and  $\lfloor wr_\beta \rfloor \xleftarrow{\beta} w$  in the parabolic quantum Bruhat graph; note that  $\operatorname{cl}(\mu) = \lfloor wr_\beta \rfloor \Lambda$ . Moreover, the edge  $\lfloor wr_\beta \rfloor \xleftarrow{\beta} w$  is a Bruhat (resp., quantum) edge if  $\xi \in \Delta_0^+$  (resp.,  $\xi \in \{-\gamma + \delta \mid \gamma \in \Delta_0^+\}$ ).

**3.2 Definition of quantum Lakshmibai-Seshadri paths.** In this subsection, we fix a level-zero dominant integral weight  $\lambda \in \sum_{i \in I_0} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \varpi_i$ , and set  $\Lambda := \operatorname{cl}(\lambda)$  for simplicity of notation. Also, we set

$$J := \left\{ j \in I_0 \mid \langle \Lambda, \, \alpha_j^{\vee} \rangle = 0 \right\} \subset I_0.$$

**Definition 3.2.1.** Let  $x, y \in W_0^J$ , and let  $\sigma \in \mathbb{Q}$  be such that  $0 < \sigma < 1$ . A directed  $\sigma$ -path from y to x is, by definition, a directed path

$$x = w_0 \stackrel{\beta_1}{\leftarrow} w_1 \stackrel{\beta_2}{\leftarrow} w_2 \stackrel{\beta_3}{\leftarrow} \cdots \stackrel{\beta_n}{\leftarrow} w_n = y$$

from y to x in the parabolic quantum Bruhat graph satisfying the condition that

$$\sigma \langle \Lambda, \, \beta_k^{\vee} \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{for all } 1 \le k \le n$$

Remark 3.2.2. Keep the notation and setting of Proposition 3.1.3 (1). Let  $0 < \sigma < 1$  be a rational number. If an edge  $\lfloor wr_{\beta} \rfloor \xleftarrow{\beta} w$  satisfies  $\sigma \langle \Lambda, \beta^{\vee} \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $r_{\xi}\nu > \nu$  is a  $\sigma$ -chain for  $(r_{\xi}\nu, \nu)$ . Indeed, we have  $\sigma \langle \nu, \xi^{\vee} \rangle = \sigma \langle w\Lambda, w\beta^{\vee} \rangle = \sigma \langle \Lambda, \beta^{\vee} \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Example 3.2.3. Assume that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of type  $A_2^{(1)}$ , and  $\lambda = 2\varpi_1 + \varpi_2$ . Then, J is the empty set, and hence the corresponding (parabolic) quantum Bruhat graph is the one in Example 3.1.2. In the figure below, the symbol [a] on an edge indicates that the value of  $\Lambda = \operatorname{cl}(\lambda)$  at the coroot of the label of the edge is equal to a:



➤ Bruhat edge ····· ➤ quantum edge

From this, we see that the directed edges  $r_1 \xrightarrow{\theta} r_2 r_1$ ,  $w_0 \xrightarrow{\theta} e$ , and  $r_2 \xrightarrow{\theta} r_1 r_2$  are (1/3)paths, and hence (2/3)-paths. Also, we see that the directed edges  $e \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} r_1$ ,  $r_1 r_2 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} w_0$ , and  $r_2 r_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} r_2$  are (1/2)-paths.

**Definition 3.2.4.** Let us denote by  $\widetilde{\mathbb{B}}(\lambda)_{cl}$  (resp.,  $\widehat{\mathbb{B}}(\lambda)_{cl}$ ) the set of all pairs  $\eta = (\underline{x}; \underline{\sigma})$  of a sequence  $\underline{x} : x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_s$  of elements in  $W_0^J$ , with  $x_k \neq x_{k+1}$  for  $1 \leq k \leq s-1$ , and a sequence  $\underline{\sigma} : 0 = \sigma_0 < \sigma_1 < \cdots < \sigma_s = 1$  of rational numbers satisfying the condition that there exists a directed  $\sigma_k$ -path (resp., directed  $\sigma_k$ -path of length  $\ell(x_{k+1}, x_k)$ ) from  $x_{k+1}$  to  $x_k$ for each  $1 \leq k \leq s-1$ . We call an element of  $\widetilde{\mathbb{B}}(\lambda)_{cl}$  a quantum Lakshmibai-Seshadri path of shape  $\lambda$ . Example 3.2.5. Keep the notation and setting of Example 3.2.3. We can check that

$$\eta_1 = (r_2, r_2 r_1, r_1; 0, 1/2, 2/3, 1),$$
  

$$\eta_2 = (r_1, e, w_0; 0, 1/2, 2/3, 1),$$
  

$$\eta_3 = (e, w_0, r_1 r_2; 0, 1/3, 1/2, 1)$$

are quantum LS paths of shape  $\lambda$ .

Let  $\eta = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_s; \sigma_0, \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s)$  be a rational path, that is, a pair of a sequence  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_s$  of elements in  $W_0^J$ , with  $x_k \neq x_{k+1}$  for  $1 \leq k \leq s-1$ , and a sequence  $0 = \sigma_0 < \sigma_1 < \cdots < \sigma_s = 1$  of rational numbers. We identify  $\eta$  with the following piecewise-linear, continuous map  $\eta : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} P_{cl}$  (cf. (2.2.1)):

$$\eta(t) = \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} (\sigma_l - \sigma_{l-1}) x_l \Lambda + (t - \sigma_{k-1}) x_k \Lambda \quad \text{for } \sigma_{k-1} \le t \le \sigma_k, \ 1 \le k \le s;$$
(3.2.1)

here we note that the map  $W_0^J \to W_0\Lambda$ ,  $w \mapsto w\Lambda$ , is bijective.

We know the following from  $[LNS^{3}3, Theorem 4.1.1]$  (see also  $[LNS^{3}2]$ ).

**Theorem 3.2.6.** With the notation and setting above, we have

$$\widehat{\mathbb{B}}(\lambda)_{ ext{cl}} = \widetilde{\mathbb{B}}(\lambda)_{ ext{cl}} = \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{ ext{cl}}.$$

## 4 Main result.

4.1 Description of the degree function in terms of the parabolic quantum Bruhat graph. As in §3.2, we fix a level-zero dominant integral weight  $\lambda \in \sum_{j \in I_0} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \varpi_j$ , and set  $J = \{j \in I_0 \mid \langle \Lambda, \alpha_j^{\vee} \rangle = 0\}$ , where  $\Lambda := \operatorname{cl}(\lambda)$ .

Let  $\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{cl}$ . By Theorem 3.2.6, we can write  $\eta$  in the form:

$$\eta = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_s; \sigma_0, \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s) \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{cl}$$

For each  $1 \leq p \leq s-1$ , let  $\mathbf{d}_p$  denote a directed  $\sigma_p$ -path from  $x_{p+1}$  to  $x_p$  of length  $\ell(x_{p+1}, x_p)$ ; observe that the value  $\langle \Lambda, \operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{d}_p) \rangle$  does not depend on the choice of such a directed  $\sigma_p$ -path  $\mathbf{d}_p$ . Indeed, if  $\mathbf{d}'_p$  is another directed  $\sigma_p$ -path from  $x_{p+1}$  to  $x_p$  of length  $\ell(x_{p+1}, x_p)$ , then it follows from [LNS<sup>3</sup>1, Proposition 8.1] that  $\operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{d}_p) - \operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{d}'_p) \in Q_J^{\vee} := \bigoplus_{j \in J} \mathbb{Z} \alpha_j^{\vee}$ . Since  $J = \{j \in I_0 \mid \langle \Lambda, \alpha_j^{\vee} \rangle = 0\}$  by the definition, we have

$$\langle \Lambda, \operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{d}_p) - \operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{d}'_p) \rangle = 0$$
, and hence  $\langle \Lambda, \operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{d}_p) \rangle = \langle \Lambda, \operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{d}'_p) \rangle$ .

Now, we define

$$\widetilde{\nu}_1 := x_1 \lambda, \qquad \widetilde{\nu}_p := x_p \lambda + \left( \sum_{u=1}^{p-1} \langle \Lambda, \operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{d}_u) \rangle \right) \delta \quad \text{for } 2 \le p \le s,$$
(4.1.1)

and set

$$\widetilde{\pi}_{\eta} := (\widetilde{\nu}_1, \, \widetilde{\nu}_2, \, \dots, \, \widetilde{\nu}_s; \, \sigma_0, \, \sigma_1, \, \dots, \, \sigma_s).$$

The following is the main result of this paper; its proof will be given in the next subsection.

**Theorem 4.1.1.** Keep the notation above. Then, the element  $\tilde{\pi}_{\eta}$  defined above is identical to the element  $\pi_{\eta} \in \mathbb{B}_0(\lambda) \subset \mathbb{B}(\lambda)$  in Proposition 2.3.1. Moreover, we have

$$\operatorname{Deg}(\eta) = -\sum_{p=1}^{s-1} (1 - \sigma_p) \langle \Lambda, \operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{d}_p) \rangle.$$
(4.1.2)

*Remark* 4.1.2. The formula (4.1.2) is identical to the one obtained in [LNS<sup>3</sup>2, Theorem 4.5], but the proof given there is completely different from the proof given in the next subsection.

Example 4.1.3. Keep the notation and setting of Examples 3.2.3 and 3.2.5. Let us compute  $\text{Deg}(\eta_1)$ . It is obvious that  $r_2 \xleftarrow{\alpha_1} r_2 r_1$  (resp.,  $r_2 r_1 \xleftarrow{\theta} r_1$ ) is a shortest directed path from  $r_2 r_1$  to  $r_2$  (resp., from  $r_1$  to  $r_2 r_1$ ). Because  $r_2 \xleftarrow{\alpha_1} r_2 r_1$  (resp.,  $r_2 r_1 \xleftarrow{\theta} r_1$ ) is a quantum edge (resp., Bruhat edge), it follows from the definition (3.1.2) of the weight of a directed path that

$$\operatorname{wt}(r_2 \xleftarrow{\alpha_1} r_2 r_1) = \alpha_1^{\vee} \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{wt}(r_2 r_1 \xleftarrow{\theta} r_1) = 0.$$

Hence, by Theorem 4.1.1, we have

$$\operatorname{Deg}(\eta_1) = -\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \left\langle \Lambda, \operatorname{wt}(r_2 \xleftarrow{\alpha_1}{\longleftarrow} r_2 r_1) \right\rangle - \left(1 - \frac{2}{3}\right) \left\langle \Lambda, \operatorname{wt}(r_2 r_1 \xleftarrow{\theta}{\longleftarrow} r_1) \right\rangle$$
$$= -\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \underbrace{\left\langle \Lambda, \alpha_1^{\vee} \right\rangle}_{=2} - \left(1 - \frac{2}{3}\right) \left\langle \Lambda, 0 \right\rangle = -1.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\operatorname{Deg}(\eta_2) = -\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \left\langle \Lambda, \underbrace{\operatorname{wt}(r_1 \xleftarrow{\alpha_1} e)}_{=0} \right\rangle - \left(1 - \frac{2}{3}\right) \left\langle \Lambda, \underbrace{\operatorname{wt}(e \xleftarrow{\theta} w_0)}_{=\theta^{\vee}} \right\rangle = -1,$$
$$\operatorname{Deg}(\eta_3) = -\left(1 - \frac{1}{3}\right) \left\langle \Lambda, \underbrace{\operatorname{wt}(e \xleftarrow{\theta} w_0)}_{=\theta^{\vee}} \right\rangle - \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \left\langle \Lambda, \underbrace{\operatorname{wt}(w_0 \xleftarrow{\alpha_1} r_1 r_2)}_{=0} \right\rangle = -2.$$

**4.2** Proof of Theorem 4.1.1. Keep the notation of the previous subsection. First we claim that  $\tilde{\pi}_{\eta} \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)$ . We will show by induction on p that  $\tilde{\nu}_{p} \in W\lambda$  for all  $1 \leq p \leq s$ . If p = 1, then the assertion is obvious from the definition:  $\tilde{\nu}_{1} = x_{1}\lambda$ . Assume now that  $s - 1 \geq p \geq 1$ , and  $\mathbf{d}_{p}$  is of the form

$$\mathbf{d}_p: x_p = w_0 \xleftarrow{\beta_1} w_1 \xleftarrow{\beta_2} w_2 \xleftarrow{\beta_3} \cdots \xleftarrow{\beta_n} w_n = x_{p+1}.$$

For each  $1 \le k \le n$ , we define  $\xi_k \in \Delta_{\text{re}}^+$  as follows (see Proposition 3.1.3):

$$\xi_{k} = \begin{cases} w_{k}\beta_{k} & \text{if } w_{k-1} = \lfloor w_{k}r_{\beta_{k}} \rfloor \xleftarrow{\beta_{k}} w_{k} \text{ is a Bruhat edge,} \\ w_{k}\beta_{k} + \delta & \text{if } w_{k-1} = \lfloor w_{k}r_{\beta_{k}} \rfloor \xleftarrow{\beta_{k}} w_{k} \text{ is a quantum edge.} \end{cases}$$
(4.2.1)

Then, for  $0 \le k \le n$ , we obtain

$$\widetilde{\mu}_k := r_{\xi_k} \cdots r_{\xi_2} r_{\xi_1} \widetilde{\nu}_p = w_k \lambda + \left( \sum_{u=1}^{p-1} \langle \Lambda, \operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{d}_u) \rangle \right) \delta + \left( \sum_{l \in [1, k]_q} \langle \Lambda, \beta_l^{\vee} \rangle \right) \delta, \quad (4.2.2)$$

where  $[1, k]_{q} := \{1 \leq l \leq k \mid w_{l-1} = \lfloor w_{l}r_{\beta_{l}} \rfloor \xleftarrow{\beta_{l}} w_{l} \text{ is a quantum edge} \}$ . Indeed, this equation follows by induction on k. If k = 0, then equation (4.2.2) is obvious by (4.1.1). Assume that  $k \geq 1$ ; by the induction hypothesis,

$$\widetilde{\mu}_{k} = r_{\xi_{k}} \widetilde{\mu}_{k-1} = r_{\xi_{k}} w_{k-1} \lambda + \left( \sum_{u=1}^{p-1} \langle \Lambda, \operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{d}_{u}) \rangle \right) \delta + \left( \sum_{l \in [1, k-1]_{q}} \langle \Lambda, \beta_{l}^{\vee} \rangle \right) \delta.$$
(4.2.3)

If  $w_{k-1} \xleftarrow{} w_k$  is a Bruhat edge, then we have  $[1, k]_q = [1, k-1]_q$ . Also, since  $\xi_k = w_k \beta_k$ , it follows that

$$r_{\xi_k}w_{k-1}\lambda = w_k r_{\beta_k} w_k^{-1} w_{k-1}\lambda = w_k r_{\beta_k} w_k^{-1} \lfloor w_k r_{\beta_k} \rfloor \lambda = w_k r_{\beta_k} w_k^{-1} w_k r_{\beta_k} \lambda = w_k \lambda.$$

Therefore, the right-hand side of equation (4.2.3) is identical to

$$w_k \lambda + \left(\sum_{u=1}^{p-1} \langle \Lambda, \operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{d}_u) \rangle \right) \delta + \left(\sum_{l \in [1, k]_q} \langle \Lambda, \beta_l^{\vee} \rangle \right) \delta.$$

If  $w_{k-1} \xleftarrow{\beta_k} w_k$  is a quantum edge, then we have  $[1, k]_q = [1, k-1]_q \cup \{k\}$ . Also, since  $\xi_k = w_k \beta_k + \delta$ , it follows that

$$r_{\xi_{k}}w_{k-1}\lambda = r_{w_{k}\beta_{k}+\delta}w_{k-1}\lambda = r_{w_{k}\beta_{k}}t_{w_{k}\beta_{k}^{\vee}}w_{k-1}\lambda = \underbrace{r_{w_{k}\beta_{k}}w_{k-1}\lambda}_{=w_{k}\lambda \text{ as above}} -\langle w_{k-1}\Lambda, w_{k}\beta_{k}^{\vee}\rangle\delta$$
$$= w_{k}\lambda - \langle \lfloor w_{k}r_{\beta_{k}}\rfloor\Lambda, w_{k}\beta_{k}^{\vee}\rangle\delta = w_{k}\lambda - \langle w_{k}r_{\beta_{k}}\Lambda, w_{k}\beta_{k}^{\vee}\rangle\delta$$
$$= w_{k}\lambda + \langle \Lambda, \beta_{k}^{\vee}\rangle\delta.$$

Therefore, the right-hand side of equation (4.2.3) is identical to

$$w_{k}\lambda + \langle \Lambda, \beta_{k}^{\vee} \rangle \delta + \left(\sum_{u=1}^{p-1} \langle \Lambda, \operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{d}_{u}) \rangle \right) \delta + \left(\sum_{l \in [1, k-1]_{q}} \langle \Lambda, \beta_{l}^{\vee} \rangle \right) \delta$$
$$= w_{k}\lambda + \left(\sum_{u=1}^{p-1} \langle \Lambda, \operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{d}_{u}) \rangle \right) \delta + \left(\sum_{l \in [1, k]_{q}} \langle \Lambda, \beta_{l}^{\vee} \rangle \right) \delta.$$

This proves equation (4.2.2). In particular, for k = n, we obtain

$$\widetilde{\mu}_{n} = r_{\xi_{n}} \cdots r_{\xi_{2}} r_{\xi_{1}} \widetilde{\nu}_{p} = w_{n} \lambda + \left( \sum_{u=1}^{p-1} \langle \Lambda, \operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{d}_{u}) \rangle \right) \delta + \left( \sum_{l \in [1, n]_{q}} \langle \Lambda, \beta_{l}^{\vee} \rangle \right) \delta$$
$$= x_{p+1} \lambda + \left( \sum_{u=1}^{p-1} \langle \Lambda, \operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{d}_{u}) \rangle \right) \delta + \langle \Lambda, \operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{d}_{p}) \rangle \delta$$
$$= x_{p+1} \lambda + \left( \sum_{u=1}^{p} \langle \Lambda, \operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{d}_{u}) \rangle \right) \delta = \widetilde{\nu}_{p+1}$$

by the definition (4.1.1) of  $\tilde{\nu}_{p+1}$ . Since  $\tilde{\nu}_p \in W\lambda$  by our induction hypothesis, we deduce that  $\tilde{\nu}_{p+1} \in W\lambda$ , as desired. Also, by Proposition 3.1.3 (1), we see that for  $1 \leq p \leq s-1$ ,

$$\widetilde{\nu}_p = \widetilde{\mu}_0 \geqslant \widetilde{\mu}_1 \geqslant \widetilde{\mu}_2 \geqslant \cdots \geqslant \widetilde{\mu}_n = \widetilde{\nu}_{p+1},$$

where  $\tilde{\mu}_k = r_{\xi_k} \tilde{\mu}_{k-1}$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$  by the definitions. Moreover, since  $\mathbf{d}_p$  is a directed  $\sigma_p$ -path, it follows from Remark 3.2.2 that the sequence above is a  $\sigma_p$ -chain for  $(\tilde{\nu}_p, \tilde{\nu}_{p+1})$ . Thus we conclude that  $\tilde{\pi}_\eta \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)$ .

Because  $\tilde{\pi}_{\eta} \in \mathbb{B}(\lambda)$  as shown above, and because  $\operatorname{cl}(\tilde{\pi}_{\eta}) = \eta$  and  $\tilde{\nu}_{1} = x_{1}\lambda \in W_{0}\lambda \subset \lambda - Q_{0}^{+}$  by the definitions, the element  $\tilde{\pi}_{\eta}$  satisfies conditions (1) and (3) of Proposition 2.3.1. Therefore, we deduce from Lemma 2.3.2 that  $\tilde{\pi}_{\eta}(1)$  is of the form:

$$\widetilde{\pi}_{\eta}(1) = \lambda - \beta + (-\operatorname{Deg}(\eta) + L)\delta$$

for some  $\beta \in Q_0^+$  and  $L \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . By Lemma 2.3.2, in order to prove that  $\tilde{\pi}_{\eta} = \pi_{\eta}$ , it suffices to show that L = 0, or equivalently,  $-\text{Deg}(\eta) + L \leq -\text{Deg}(\eta)$  since  $L \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . By using (2.2.1), we see from the definition of  $\tilde{\pi}_{\eta}$  that

$$-\operatorname{Deg}(\eta) + L = \sum_{p=0}^{s-1} (\sigma_{p+1} - \sigma_p) \left( \sum_{u=1}^{p} \langle \Lambda, \operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{d}_u) \rangle \right).$$
(4.2.4)

Now, if we write  $\pi_{\eta}$  as

 $\pi_{\eta} = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_b; \tau_0, \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_b),$ 

then we have  $\nu_q \in \lambda - Q_0^+ + K_q \delta$  for some  $K_q \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $1 \leq q \leq b$  (see Remark 2.1.2 (2)); observe that  $K_1 = 0$  by the definition of  $\pi_\eta$  (see Proposition 2.3.1 (3)), and that  $0 = K_1 \leq K_2 \leq \cdots \leq K_b$  by Remark 2.2.2. Since  $cl(\pi_\eta) = \eta$ , we deduce that there exist  $0 = c_0 < c_1 < c_2 < \cdots < c_s = b$  such that  $\tau_{c_p} = \sigma_p$  for  $0 \leq p \leq s$ , and hence  $\pi_\eta$  can be written as:

$$\underbrace{(\underbrace{\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_{c_1}}_{\text{mapped to}}, \underbrace{\nu_{c_1+1}, \ldots, \nu_{c_2}}_{\text{mapped to}}, \ldots, \underbrace{\nu_{c_{s-1}+1}, \ldots, \nu_{c_s} = \nu_b}_{\text{mapped to}}; \\ \underbrace{0 = \tau_0, \tau_1, \ldots, \underbrace{\tau_{c_1}}_{=\sigma_1}, \tau_{c_1+1}, \ldots, \underbrace{\tau_{c_2}}_{=\sigma_2}, \ldots, \tau_{c_{s-1}+1}, \ldots, \underbrace{\tau_{c_s} = \tau_b = 1}_{=\sigma_s}).$$

From this, we compute

$$-\operatorname{Deg}(\eta) = \sum_{q=1}^{b} (\tau_q - \tau_{q-1}) K_q = \sum_{p=0}^{s-1} \sum_{q=c_p+1}^{c_{p+1}} (\tau_q - \tau_{q-1}) K_q$$
$$\geq \sum_{p=0}^{s-1} \sum_{q=c_p+1}^{c_{p+1}} (\tau_q - \tau_{q-1}) K_{c_p+1} \quad \text{since } K_q \geq K_{c_p+1} \text{ for all } c_p + 1 \leq q \leq c_{p+1}$$
$$= \sum_{p=0}^{s-1} (\tau_{c_{p+1}} - \tau_{c_p}) K_{c_p+1} = \sum_{p=0}^{s-1} (\sigma_{p+1} - \sigma_p) K_{c_p+1}. \tag{4.2.5}$$

Therefore, by (4.2.4) and (4.2.5), in order to show the inequality  $-\text{Deg}(\eta) + L \leq -\text{Deg}(\eta)$ , it suffices to show that

$$K_{c_p+1} \ge \sum_{u=1}^{p} \langle \Lambda, \operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{d}_u) \rangle$$
 for all  $0 \le p \le s - 1.$  (4.2.6)

We show this inequality by induction on p. If p = 0, then the assertion is obvious since  $K_{c_p+1} = K_1 = 0$  as seen above. Assume that  $s - 1 \ge p > 0$ . Take  $\mu_0, \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_m \in W\lambda$  such that

$$\nu_{c_p} = \mu_0 \gg \mu_1 \gg \cdots \gg \mu_m = \nu_{c_p+1}$$

(for example, take a  $\tau_{c_p}$ -chain for  $(\nu_{c_p}, \nu_{c_p+1})$ ), and let  $\zeta_k \in \Delta_{re}^+$  be the positive real root such that  $\mu_k = r_{\zeta_k}\mu_{k-1}$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq m$ . For each  $0 \leq k \leq m$ , let  $v_k \in W_0^J$  be a unique element in  $W_0^J$  such that  $cl(\mu_k) = v_k\Lambda$ ; remark that  $v_0 = x_p$  and  $v_m = x_{p+1}$ . By repeated application of Proposition 3.1.3 (2), we obtain a directed path (not shortest in general)

$$\mathbf{d}: x_p = v_0 \xleftarrow{\gamma_1} v_1 \xleftarrow{\gamma_2} v_2 \xleftarrow{\gamma_3} \cdots \xleftarrow{\gamma_m} v_m = x_{p+1}$$

from  $x_{p+1}$  to  $x_p$  in the parabolic quantum Bruhat graph, where  $\gamma_k \in \Delta_0^+ \setminus \Delta_{0,J}^+$  for  $1 \le k \le m$ are defined by

$$\gamma_k := \begin{cases} v_k^{-1} \zeta_k & \text{if } \zeta_k \in \Delta_0^+, \\ v_k^{-1} (\zeta_k - \delta) & \text{if } \zeta_k \in \{-\gamma + \delta \mid \gamma \in \Delta_0^+\}; \end{cases}$$

recall that  $v_{k-1} \xleftarrow{\gamma_k} v_k$  is a Bruhat edge if and only if  $\zeta_k \in \Delta_0^+$ . By the same argument as for equation (4.2.2), we can show that for  $0 \le k \le m$ ,

$$\mu_k = r_{\zeta_k} \cdots r_{\zeta_2} r_{\zeta_1} \nu_{c_p} = v_k \lambda + K_{c_p} \delta + \left( \sum_l \left\langle \Lambda, \, \gamma_l^{\vee} \right\rangle \right) \delta,$$

where the summation above is over all  $1 \le l \le k$  for which  $v_{l-1} = \lfloor v_l r_{\gamma_l} \rfloor \xleftarrow{\gamma_l} v_l$  is a quantum edge. In particular, for k = m, we obtain

$$\nu_{c_p+1} = \mu_m = x_{p+1}\lambda + K_{c_p}\delta + \langle \Lambda, \operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{d}) \rangle \delta,$$

and hence  $K_{c_p+1} = K_{c_p} + \langle \Lambda, \operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{d}) \rangle$ . Here we see from [LNS<sup>3</sup>1, Proposition 8.1] that  $\langle \Lambda, \operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{d}) \rangle \geq \langle \Lambda, \operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{d}_p) \rangle$ . Also, by the induction hypothesis (note that  $c_{p-1} < c_p$ ),

$$K_{c_p} \ge K_{c_{p-1}+1} \ge \sum_{u=1}^{p-1} \langle \Lambda, \operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{d}_u) \rangle$$

Combining these, we obtain

$$K_{c_p+1} = K_{c_p} + \langle \Lambda, \operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{d}) \rangle \ge \sum_{u=1}^{p-1} \langle \Lambda, \operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{d}_u) \rangle + \langle \Lambda, \operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{d}_p) \rangle = \sum_{u=1}^{p} \langle \Lambda, \operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{d}_u) \rangle.$$

Thus, we have proved the inequality  $-\text{Deg}(\eta) + L \leq -\text{Deg}(\eta)$ , and hence the equality  $\tilde{\pi}_{\eta} = \pi_{\eta}$ , as desired.

Finally, from equation (4.2.4) together with L = 0 shown above, we deduce that

$$-\operatorname{Deg}(\eta) = \sum_{p=0}^{s-1} (\sigma_{p+1} - \sigma_p) \left( \sum_{u=1}^{p} \langle \Lambda, \operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{d}_u) \rangle \right) = \sum_{p=1}^{s-1} \sum_{u=1}^{p} (\sigma_{p+1} - \sigma_p) \langle \Lambda, \operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{d}_u) \rangle$$
$$= \sum_{p=1}^{s-1} \left\{ \sum_{q=p}^{s-1} (\sigma_{q+1} - \sigma_q) \right\} \langle \Lambda, \operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{d}_p) \rangle = \sum_{p=1}^{s-1} (\sigma_s - \sigma_p) \langle \Lambda, \operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{d}_p) \rangle$$
$$= \sum_{p=1}^{s-1} (1 - \sigma_p) \langle \Lambda, \operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{d}_p) \rangle.$$

Thus we have proved formula (4.1.2). This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.1.  $\Box$ 

## References

- [HKOTT] G. Hatayama, A. Kuniba, M. Okado, T. Takagi, and Z. Tsuboi, Paths, crystals and fermionic formulae, in "MathPhys Odyssey 2001, Integrable Models and Beyond" (M. Kashiwara and T. Miwa, Eds.), Prog. Math. Phys. Vol. 23, pp. 205–272, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2002.
- [HKOTY] G. Hatayama, A. Kuniba, M. Okado, T. Takagi, and Y. Yamada, Remarks on fermionic formula, in "Recent Developments in Quantum Affine Algebras and Related Topics" (N. Jing and K.C. Misra, Eds.), Contemp. Math. Vol. 248, pp. 243–291, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [HK] J. Hong and S.-J. Kang, "Introduction to Quantum Groups and Crystal Bases", Graduate Studies in Mathematics Vol. 42, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.
- [Kac] V. G. Kac, "Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras", 3rd Edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1990.
- [Kas1] M. Kashiwara, On crystal bases, in "Representations of Groups" (B.N. Allison and G.H. Cliff, Eds.), CMS Conf. Proc. Vol. 16, pp. 155–197, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995.
- [Kas2] M. Kashiwara, On level-zero representations of quantized affine algebras, Duke Math. J. 112 (2002), 117–175.

- [LNS<sup>3</sup>1] C. Lenart, S. Naito, D. Sagaki, A. Schilling, and M. Shimozono, A uniform model for Kirillov-Reshetikhin crystals I: Lifting the parabolic quantum Bruhat graph, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2015** (2015), 1848–1901.
- $[LNS^{3}2] C. Lenart, S. Naito, D. Sagaki, A. Schilling, and M. Shimozono, A uniform model for$ Kirillov-Reshetikhin crystals II: Alcove model, path model, and <math>P = X, preprint 2014, arXiv:1402.2203.
- [LNS<sup>3</sup>3] C. Lenart, S. Naito, D. Sagaki, A. Schilling, and M. Shimozono, Explicit description of the action of root operators on quantum Lakshmibai-Seshadri paths, preprint 2013, arXiv:1308.3529.
- [LS] C. Lenart and A. Schilling, Crystal energy via the charge in types A and C, *Math. Z.* **273** (2013), 401–426.
- [L1] P. Littelmann, A Littlewood-Richardson rule for symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebras, Invent. Math. **116** (1994), 329–346.
- [L2] P. Littelmann, Paths and root operators in representation theory, Ann. of Math. (2) 142 (1995), 499–525.
- [NS1] S. Naito and D. Sagaki, Path model for a level-zero extremal weight module over a quantum affine algebra, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2003** (2003), no. 32, 1731–1754.
- [NS2] S. Naito and D. Sagaki, Crystal of Lakshmibai-Seshadri paths associated to an integral weight of level zero for an affine Lie algebra, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2005** (2005), no. 14, 815–840.
- [NS3] S. Naito and D. Sagaki, Path model for a level-zero extremal weight module over a quantum affine algebra. II, Adv. Math. **200** (2006), 102–124.
- [NS4] S. Naito and D. Sagaki, Crystal structure on the set of Lakshmibai-Seshadri paths of an arbitrary level-zero shape, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) **96** (2008), 582–622.
- [NS5] S. Naito and D. Sagaki, Lakshmibai-Seshadri paths of level-zero weight shape and onedimensional sums associated to level-zero fundamental representations, *Compos. Math.* 144 (2008), 1525–1556.
- [S] A. Schilling, X=M theorem: Fermionic formulas and rigged configurations under review, in "Combinatorial Aspect of Integrable Systems" (A. Kuniba and M. Okado, eds), MSJ Memoirs Vol. 17, pp. 75–104, Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 2007.