AMAT 583 Lec 15 10/17/19 Today: Topological Spaces, Homotopy Equivalence, (lustering Overview of last class - We observed that the E-S def. of continuity extends to functions between metric spaces. - We noted that a function f: M > N of metric spaces iff f'(U) is open for each open set UCN. A set UCM is <u>open</u> if it is a mion of open bulls. (Here M is any metric space). => That continuity depends only on the open sets of M and N, not otherwise on the metrics. => Topology depends only on the open sets!

- Def: If dy and dz are metrics on the same set S and d1 and d2 have the same collection of open sets, Then dy and dz are called topologically equivalent. Topologically equivalent metrics are common. - Can develop topology without talking about metrics at all, and just tracking open sets. <u>Definition</u>: A <u>topological</u> <u>space</u> is a set T, together with a collection O of subsets of T 1) O is closed under arbitrary unions 2) O is closed under finite intersections $3)T \in O$ 4) $\phi \in \mathcal{O}$. O is called a topology on Tora topological structure. Definition: A function f: T-> T' of topological spaces (with respective collections of open sets O, O') is open if f'(V) cO for all UCO! That is, if f'(U) is open for each open set UCT!

<u>Example</u>: For any metric space (S,d), let O denote the collection of open sets. (S, O) is a topological space. Note: Most but not all topological spaces one encounters arise from a metric. What is this more abstract perspective good for? <u>One answer: gluing.</u> Consider the square IXI Thinking of this as a piece of rubber, suppose we give the left edge to the right edge, i.e., glving (0,y) to (1,y) for all y & I We get a cylinder:

How do we model such gluing mathematically? We can pit a metric on the glued dijet, but this is aw knowel. It's much cleaner to work directly with open sets.

Quotient Topology For Ta topological space, and ~ an equivalence relation on T, define a topology on T/\sim by taking $U \subset T/\sim$ to be open iff $\pi^{-1}(U)$ is open, where TT: T > T/~ is given by TT(x) = [x]. T/~, together with this topology, is called a quotient space. This definition may be a bit mysterious, but it has an intuitive interpretation. This topology is the one on T/2 obtained from T by giving together as little as possible. <u>Example</u>: Define an equivalence relation on IXI=I² by (a,b)~(c,d) iff 1)b=d AND 2) a=c OR Then the quotient space T/~ is homeomorphic 4=0, c=1 OR to S²×I. 4=1, c=0.

Homotopy <u>cquivalence</u> Motivation: Two spaces may not be homeomorphic, but may be topologically similar in a losser sense. We would like to guantify this. Examples VS. Crede Annulus Each pair of spaces is not homeomorphic, **√S**. but is homotopy equivalent Loosely speaking, handopy Circle equivalent spaces has same number of Circle W "rat tail" holes of different types. ٧S Paint Disk

Product topology (technical detail) $X = (S^{\times}, \delta^{\times})$ $Y = (S^{\vee}, \delta^{\vee})$ For topological spaces and, The product space X×Y is the topological space w/inderlying set S××S' and UcS××S' open iff U is a union of sets of the form U×V, where UCOX and VCOX Note: We talked last time about different ways to put a metric on a cartesian product of metric spaces. Each way we discussed yields the product topology. That's the maturation for this definition. Homotopy Equivalence Detoimation retract