AMAT 583, Sept. 12 (Lec. 6)

Ioday: homeomorphism, continued isotopy

Recall the following key definition from last time: <u>Homeomorphism</u> For S,T subsets of Euclidean spaces, A function f:S ~ T is a <u>homeomorphism</u> if 1) f is a continuous bijection 2) The inverse of f is also continuous.

Last lecture, Le looked at some examples illustrating this definition. We now consider several more.

<u>Example</u>: Consider the capital letters as unions of curves in the plane with no thickness.

T is homeomorphic to Y:

for example, one can define a homeomorphism T->Y which sends each of the colored

points of Tabove to the point of Y of the same color.

S is homeomorphic to U:

S-→ V

E-,

E is homeomorphic to T:

O is not homeomorphic to S. Intuitively, any bijection O⇒S must "cut the O" somewhere, so cannot be continuous.

Note: In general, subsets of IR<sup>2</sup> with different #'s of holes" are not homeomorphic. (Making this formal requires ideas from algebraic topology that we will discuss later in the course.

Example: B is not homeomorphic to any other letter, because B is the only capital letter with two holes.

Example X is not homeomorphic to Y. Explanation: X has a point where 4 line segments meet, Y does not. Using This, one can show that X and Y are not homeomorphic.

Basic Facts About Homeomorphisms. · Clearly, if F:S->T a homeomorphism, then F<sup>-1</sup> is a homeomorphism.

1

· If f.S >> T and g:T >> U are homeomorphisms, then gof'S-T is a homeomorphism (w/ inverse f'og^1)

as an immediate consequence, if X and Y are homeomorphic, and Y and Z are homeomorphic, then X and Z are homeomorphic.

Isotopy All of the pair of homeomorphic spaces we've seen so far are topologically exivalent in a sense that's stronger than homeomorphism, called isotopy.

The definition of isotopy is closer to the "rubber-sheet geometry" idea of continuous detormotion that we introduced on the first day.

Motivatine example

Let S,T=123 be as illustrated: S is a unit circle with a line segment attached to one point. The line segment points inward. S T is also a unit circle with a line segment attached to the same point, but now line segment points outward. S and T are homeomorphic. However, it S and T were made of rubber, we couldn't deform S into T without tearing. The line segment would have to pass through the sphere, Formally, we express this idea using isotopy. To define isotopy, we need to first define homotopies and embeddings Homotopy is a notion of continuous deformation

for functions (rather than spaces). thickening of S For SCIR, h: SXI > T a continuous function and to I, let h+: S > T be given by  $h_{+}(x) = h(x, +).$ Interpretation: we can think of h as a family of continuous functions {h+ | + E I & from S to T evolving in time. (We interpret t as time.) The continuity of h means that he "evolves continuously" as + changes. Example: S=I, T=IR2. Then SxI= I<sup>2</sup>= The unit square. h in(ho) Each ht: I > IR species a curve in IR. As t increases, these cures evolve continuarshy <u>Definition</u>: For continuous maps f, g: S→T a homotopy from f to g is a continuous map

h:SxI->T

such that ho=f and h1=q. Note: Any continuous map h: SxI->T is a handtopy from ho to he. We sometimes call ha homotopy without mentioning ho, h1.



im(ht) is shown above for t= 0, 4, 2, 3, 1. [Lecture ended here] Example: Let f: S1 -> R2 be the inclusion map, and This example is similar to the last one and will be skipped in class.  $g: S^{1} \rightarrow IR^{2}$  be given by g(x) = (0,0) for all  $x \in S^{1}$ . let We specify a homotopy h: S'\*I -> IR' from f to g by  $h(\vec{x}, t) = t\vec{x}$ Note that im (ht) is a circle for t<1 and a point for t= 1. as above, in (h+) is shown for t= 0, 4, 2, 3, 1. Embeddings Recall: For any function F:S-T, there is an associated function outo The image of f. namely



<u>Proof of injectivity</u>: If f is a homeomorphism then it is bijective, hence injective. f= jof, where j: m(f)→T is The Inclusion map. j is injective. The composition of two injective functions is injective, so f is injective.

Example: The following illustrates that a continuous injection is not necessarily an embedding

Consider 
$$f: [0, Z_{\text{T}}) \longrightarrow |\mathbb{R}^2$$
,  $f(x) = (\cos x, \sin x)$ .  
We seen above that  $\tilde{f}$  is a continuous bijection  
but not a homeomorphism.

evolve continuously in time. - fact that ht is an embedding ensures all im (ht) are homeomorphic. Example: Let TCIR2 be the circle of radius 2 centered at the origin. The homotopy  $h: S^1 \times I \rightarrow |\mathbb{R}^2, h(x,t) = (|+t) \tilde{x}$ in The example above is an isotopy from Sto T. circle of radius 2. Note: If S and T are isotopic, then they are homeomorphic; for h any isotopy from S to T, h\_1°ho is a homeomorphism from S to T. <u>Explanation</u>: hg: S→IR<sup>h</sup> is an embedding, hence a homeomorphism onto its image. But in(h1)=T. Example: Let L= {(x,y) E S1 | x < 0} K= {(X, y) 6 54 x>0} /<sup>K</sup> h:L×I→IR<sup>2</sup>, → h((x,y), +) = ((1-2+)x, y)

Example  $X = S^2 \cup \{0\} \subset [R^2 Y = S^2 \cup \{(3,0)\}]$ . X and Y homeomorphic, not isotopic. But if we embed X, Y in IR3, then they are isotopic there. That is, let  $X = \{(x,y,0) | (x,y) \in X \} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  $Y' = \{(x,y,0) | (x,y) \in Y \} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ There's an isotopy h: X × I-> IR<sup>3</sup> Which moves the extra point as shown in red.

Similarly, if we embed S and T of the previous example into IRY, they are isotopic there. Fauts about isotopies . The same properties of Symmetry: If there exists an isotopy from stopices S to T, then there exists an "Isotopices Can be reversed isotopy from T to S. Ff: If h: X × I→IR<sup>h</sup> is an isotopy from S to T then h: X×I→T, given by h(x,t)=h(x,1-t). is an isotopy from T to S. Transitivity: IF S,T are isotopic and T, U are isotopic, so are S, U. (The proof takes just a few lines.) Example: Consider the thick capital letters Both are isotopic to the disc D= {(x,y) EIR2 x2+y2<1} Example:

Isotopy from D to X Hence, by transitivity, X and Y are isotopic. In particular, they are homeomorphic. Thus we see that whether two letters are homeomorphic depends on whether we consider the thin or thick versions. Later,