AMAT 583 Lec 9 9/24/19 Today: Path components Recall from last time: A relation ~ on a set S is an <u>equivalence</u> relation if 1) x~x ¥ x E [reflexivity] 2) x~y iff y~x [symmetry] 3) x ~y, y~z => x~z [transitivity] if x~y, we say x is equivalent to y. Interesting example: Let ~ be the relation on Z defined by a~b iff a-b is even. This is an equivalence relation: Succinct prost: 1) a-a is O, which is even, tac Z. 2) a-b is even iff b-a= -(a-b) is even. 3) if a-b is even and b-c is even, then a-c=(a-b)+(b-c) is even, because the sum of two even #'s is even.

Equivalence classes Def: For ~ an equivalence relation on S and x S, let [x] denote the set {y S | y ~ x } C S. We call [X] an equivalence class of ~. set of all elements of S equivalent to x. Example: Let ~ be the equivalence relation on Z given in the previous example. Q: What is [0]? A: z~O iff z-O is even iff z is even So [0] = the even integers := E Q: What is [2]? A: z~2 if z-2 is even iff z is even. So [2]=E. In fact, for any even number Z, [z]=E. Q: What is [1]? A: z~1 iff z-1 is even iff z is odd. So [1] = the odd integers := 0. Similarly, for any odd z, [z]=0. So there are just two equivalence classes for this relation, E and O. Fact: For any equivalence relation ~ on a set S every element of S is contained in exactly one equivalence class of ~. Pf: For XES, XE[X] because ~ is reflexive. Suppose XE[Z]. [Z]= & yES | y~Z & So X~Z.

and thus z~x. If y ([Z], then y~Z. By transitivity then, y~x, so y ([x]. This shows that [z] < [x]. A very similar little argument shows that [x] < [z]. Thus [x] = [z]. This shows that x belongs to exactly one equivalence class, namely [x] Notation: S/~ denotes the set of equivalence classes of 24~ Example: Let ~ be the equivalence relation on Z of the previous examples. Then Z= {E, 0}. Lemma: For any equivalence relation ~ on a set S and X,y ES, X~y iff [x]=[y]. Pf: Assume x~y. Then by transitivity, z~y => z~x. So [y]<[x]. By the same reasoning, if Z~X, then z~y, so [x] < [y]. Since [x] < [y] and [y] < [x], we have [x]=[y].

Conversely, assume [x]=[y]. Then since  $x \sim x$ ,  $x \in [x] = [y]$ , so  $x \sim y$ . Path Components subset of Euclidean space. Recall from homework #2 : For a space S and x, y  $\in S$ , a path from x to y is a continuous ( function  $8: I \rightarrow S$  such that  $\delta(0) = x$ ,  $\delta(1) = y$ . Define a relation ~ on S by x~y iff I a path trom x to y. Proposition: ~ is an equivalence relation. (Proof was not covered in class last time.) Pf: <u>Reflexivity</u>: For x∈S, the path (I → S, given by Y(t)=x t+∈I, is a path from x to itself. Symmetry: IF & is a path from x to y, then F: I = S, F(t) = 8(1-t) is a path from y to x. Transitivity: If a is a path from x to y, and B is a path from y to z, then a path & from x to Z is given by X(1)- (x(2+) for te[0, =]

 $\delta: \mathbb{I} \rightarrow S, \quad \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{I})^{-} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{B}(2^{+}-1)} \text{ for } \mathfrak{t} \in [\pm, 1].$ <u>Definition</u>: A <u>path</u> component of S is an equivalence class of  $\sim$ , i.e. an element of  $S/\sim$ . 1 Ilustration: Notation: S/~ is written as TT(S) The set SCIR<sup>2</sup> shown has two The set of path components. \$[x] path companents of S.

Definition: S is path connected if TT(S) contains exactly one element. Note: If S is non-empty, this is equivalent to the def. of path connected in HW # Z.

<u>Proposition</u>: If S and T are homeomorphic, then there is a bijection from IT(S) to IT(T). Thus, if S has k path companents, so does T.

Proof: For any continuous function 
$$f: S \rightarrow T$$
, we define a function:  $f_{k}: T(S) \rightarrow T(T)$  by  
for each function:  $f_{k}: T(S) \rightarrow T(T)$  by  
for  $f_{k}([X]) = [f(X)] = S$ , define  $f_{k}(C)$  by choosing xeC and taking  
 $f_{k}(C)$  to be the part component of T contains (6).  
Note: We need to check that this definition doesn't depend  
on the choice of  $X \in C$ .  
Note: is, we need to check that if  $[X] = [f(Y)] = f_{k}(C)$   
That is, we need to check that if  $[X] = [f(Y)] = f_{k}(C)$   
That is, we need to check that if  $[X] = [Y]$  then  
 $[f(X)] = [f(Y)]$ ,  
The  $[X] = [Y]$ , then  $X = Y$ , i.e., there is a path  $\delta: I \Rightarrow S$   
from x to y.  
 $f(Y)_{*}$  so  $f(X) = f(Y)$ , which implies  $[f(X)] = [f(Y)] = .$ 

We'll show that fy is invertible, hence a bijection, when f is a homeomorphism. For this, we need two facts: 1) For any SEIR, and Ids: S-S the identity map,  $Id_{x}^{S} = Id^{\pi(S)} : \pi(S) \rightarrow \pi(S).$  $Pf: Id_{*}([\times]) = [Id(\times)] = [\times].$ Z) For any continuous maps  $f: S \rightarrow T$ ,  $g: T \rightarrow U$ ,  $(g \circ f)_* = g_* \circ f_* : T(S) \rightarrow T(U)$  $\underline{Pf}: (gof)_{*}([\times]) = \left[gof[\times]\right] = \left[g(f(\times))\right] =$  $g_{*}([f(\times)]) = g_{*}(f_{*}[\times]) = g_{*}f_{*}([\times]).$ 

Now assume f: S T is a homeomorphism. Then f, f are both continuous, and we have f"of=Ids  $Id^{T(s)}$ fof' Ide Thus,  $(f^{-1} \circ f)_* = Id_* \implies f_*^{-1} \circ f_* = Id^{T(S)}$  $(f \circ f')_* \in Id_*^T \implies f_* \circ f_*' \in Id^{\pi(T)}$ Td<sup>Π</sup>(T) Thus,  $f_* \in \pi(S) \rightarrow \pi(T)$  is invertible, with inverse  $f_*$ . Application: Consider the symbols t, =, and das subsets of  $IR^2$ .  $\pi(+)=1$ ,  $\pi(=)=2$ ,  $\pi(=)=3$ . Thus none is homeomorphic to any other, Application: We prove that as unions of curves w/ no Thickness, X and Y are not homeomorphic. Fact: If f: S>T is a homeomorphism and ACS, then A and f(A) are homeomorphic, where f(A) = {y \in T | y = f(x) for some x \in A}.

proof of fact: (to be skyped in class) Let j: A > S be the inclusion. im(f=j)=f(A). Since f is a bijection, so f=j · A -> f(A). It follows from the facts about continuity stated in an earlier lecture that foj is continuous. Moreover, if j': f(A) -> T is the inclusion, (foj) = f'oji, and this is continuous by the same reasoning.

<u>Proof</u> that X and Y are not homeomorphic: Let X'CX be obtained by removing the center point p. | T(X')|=4. Note that there no way to remove a single point from Y to get Y'CY with |T(Y)|=4

If we have a homeomorphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ , then f(X') is obtained from Y by removing f(p), and |TT(f(X'))| = |TT(X')| = 4 by the prop., which is impossible. Thus, no homeomorphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  can exist.

. . . . . .