AMAT 584 Lecture Z, 1/24/20 references "Edelsbrunner Harer, Simplicial Complexes -Generalizations of graphs · Munkres, Elements of · Algebraic Topology Illustrations ·Blumberg/Rabadan Simplices are certain simple subsets of IR". O-simplex is a paint . A 1-simplex is a line segment A Z-simplex is a triangle 🛆 A 3-simplex is a solid tetrahedron To give the precise definition of a simplex, we will need a few preliminary notions.

First, to motivate what follows, let's consider the following simple question: Question: Given X # Y E IR", how do we describe the line segment L connecting & and y as a set? Answer $L = \{ \vec{x} + c(\vec{y} - \vec{x}) \mid c \in [0, 1] \}$ = $\frac{1}{2} c \vec{y} + (1-c) \vec{x} | c \in [0,1]$ $(\vec{y} - \vec{x}) = \{ c_1 \vec{y} + c_2 \vec{x} | c_1 \ge 0, c_1 + c_2 = 1 \}$

A subset SCIR' is called a linear subspice if it is the solution set to a linear equation AZ=0 (A is a matrix.)

Geometrically, a linear subspace is flat (doesn't curve), and passes through the origin. inear subspace linear subspace

An affine subspace of 17th is the solution set to an equation Az=b (A is a matix, b is a vector).

Geometrically, has the same shape as a linear subspace, but needn't pass through the origin.

That is, an affine subspace is a translation of a linear subspace.

For X= { x, ..., xn3c/R, the convex hull of X, is the set $(anv(X) = \xi c_1 \overline{x}_1 + c_2 \overline{x}_2 + c_3 \overline{x}_3 + \cdots + c_n \overline{x}_n | each c_i \ge 0 and \widehat{\Xi} c_i = 1 \}.$. The convex hull at a single point is the singleton set Containg only that point. . The convex hull of two points is the line segment connecting them. . The convex hull of three points in general position is the triangle with these points as vertices. · The convex hull of four points in general position is a solid tetrahedron with these points as vertices. Definition: A (k-)simplex is the convex hull of a set of k+1 points in general position. It xo,..., xk are in general position, we write the associated simplex as LX0,...,×KJ. I'll sometimes call this the simplex spanned by {xo,...,xk} x1. [*0, 14, 1/2]

Definition: A face of a simplex [xo, ... xk] is the simplex spanned by a non-empty subset of {x1,..., x23. The faces of [A,B,C] are: [A], [B], [C], Ex: [A,B],[B,C],[A,C],[A,B,C]Definition: A (geometric) simplical complex is a set S of simplices in IR" (for some fixed n) such that 1. each face of a simplex in S is contained in S 2. the intersection of two simplices in X is a face of each of them (if non empty). Let $A, B, \zeta D \in \mathbb{R}^2$ Example be as shown This illustrates the simplicial complex {[A],[B],[C],[D], [A,B], [A,C], [B,C], [A,D], [A,B,C]}

Example: For A,B E IR as shown, {[A], [AB]} is not a simplical complex property 1 is violated. R Example: For A, B, C, DER2 as shown, $\{[A], [B], [C], [D], [A, D], [B, C]\}$ is not a simplial complex: Property 2. is violated. D Definition: For S a simplicial complex, we call the Union of the simplices in S the geometric realization of S, and denote this IS. Abstract Simplicial Complexes Motivation: It turns out that up to homeomorphism, ISI doesn't depend on the position of the O-simplices of S.

A Example: Ri ISI≅IS'I. (Recall that ≥ means" is homeomorphict"). Let's make this precise: Proposition: Let S and S' be simplicial complexes, and suppose there is a bijection of from the O-simplices of S to the O-simplices of S' such that $[x_0, ..., x_k] \in S$ iff $[f(x_0), ..., f(x_k)] \in S$. Then 15 = 15'1. Def: an abstract simplicial complex on a set S is a allection X of non-empty finite subsets such that OEX and \$ # TCO, then TES. i£ The subsets of size (k+1) are called k-simplices of X.

The simplex {q_,.., ak } is witten [q_o,.., ak].

-